Equality Impact Assessment

- 1. An Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) is a document that summarises how the council has had due regard to the public sector equality duty (Equality Act 2010) in its decision-making. Although there is no legal duty to produce an EIA, the Council must have due regard to the equality duty and an EIA is recognised as the best method of fulfilling that duty. It can assist the Council in making a judgment as to whether a policy or other decision will have unintended negative consequences for certain people and help maximise the positive impacts of policy change. An EIA can lead to one of four consequences:
 - (a) No major change the policy or other decision is robust with no potential for discrimination or adverse impact. Opportunities to promote equality have been taken;
 - (b) Adjust the policy or decision to remove barriers or better promote equality as identified in the EIA;
 - (c) Continue the policy if the EIA identifies potential for adverse impact, set out compelling justification for continuing;
 - (d) Stop and remove the policy where actual or potential unlawful discrimination is identified.

Public sector equality duty

- 2. The Equality Act 2010 places a duty on the council, when exercising public functions, to have due regard to the need to:
 - (a) Eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation;
 - (b) Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it;
 - (c) Foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it (ie tackling prejudice and promoting understanding between people from different groups).

Appendix C to February 2022 Cabinet report on Rolvenden land acquisition

3. These are known as the three aims of the general equality duty.

Protected characteristics

- The Equality Act 2010 sets out nine protected characteristics for the purpose of the equality duty:
 - Age
 - Disability
 - Gender reassignment
 - Marriage and civil partnership*
 - Pregnancy and maternity
 - Race
 - · Religion or belief
 - Sex
 - Sexual orientation

*For marriage and civil partnership, only the first aim of the duty applies in relation to employment.

Due regard

- 5. Having 'due regard' is about using good equality information and analysis at the right time as part of decision-making procedures.
- 6. To 'have due regard' means that in making decisions and in its other day-to-day activities the council must consciously consider the need to do the things set out in the general equality duty: eliminate discrimination, advance equality of opportunity and foster good relations. This can involve:
 - removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by people due to their protected characteristics.
 - taking steps to meet the needs of people with certain protected characteristics when these are different from the needs of other people.
 - encouraging people with certain protected characteristics to participate

in public life or in other activities where it is disproportionately low.

- 7. How much regard is 'due' will depend on circumstances The greater potential impact, the higher the regard required by the duty. Examples of functions and decisions likely to engage the duty include: policy decisions, budget decisions, public appointments, service provision, discretion, decisions statutory individuals, employing staff and procurement of goods and services.
- 8. In terms of timing:
 - Having 'due regard' should be considered at the inception of any decision or proposed policy or service development or change.
 - Due regard should be considered throughout development of a decision. Notes shall be taken and kept on file as to how due regard has been had to the equality duty in research, meetings, project teams, consultations etc.
 - The completion of the EIA is a way of effectively summarising this and it should inform final decision-making.

Case law principles

- 9. A number of principles have been established by the courts in relation to the equality duty and due regard:
 - Decision-makers in public authorities must be aware of their duty to have 'due regard' to the equality duty and so EIA's <u>must</u> be attached to any relevant committee reports.
 - Due regard is fulfilled before and at the time a particular policy is under consideration as well as at the time a decision is taken. Due regard involves a conscious approach and state of mind.
- A public authority cannot satisfy the duty by justifying a decision after it has been taken.
- The duty must be exercised in substance, with rigour and with an open mind in such a way that it influences the final decision.

- The duty is a non-delegable one. The duty will always remain the responsibility of the public authority.
- The duty is a continuing one so that it needs to be considered not only when a policy, for example, is being developed and agreed but also when it is implemented.
- It is good practice for those exercising public functions to keep an accurate record showing that they have actually considered the general duty and pondered relevant questions. Proper record keeping encourages transparency and will discipline those carrying out the relevant function to undertake the duty conscientiously.
- A public authority will need to consider whether it has sufficient information to assess the effects of the policy, or the way a function is being carried out, on the aims set out in the general equality duty.
- A public authority cannot avoid complying with the duty by claiming that it does not have enough resources to do so.

The Equality and Human Rights
Commission has produced helpful
guidance on "Meeting the Equality
Duty in Policy and Decision-Making"
(October 2014). It is available on the
following link and report authors should
read and follow this when developing
or reporting on proposals for policy or
service development or change and
other decisions likely to engage the
equality duty. Equality Duty in decisionmaking

Lead officer:	Mark James
Decision maker:	Cabinet
 Decision: Policy, project, service, contract Review, change, new, stop 	Housing Development Note and endorse the acquisition being made under the delegated authority afforded to housing services under recommendation VII in the cabinet report agreed in December 2020 Note exempt Appendix B and note the acquisition price of the land
Date of decision:	24 th February 2022
The date when the final decision is made. The EIA must be complete before this point and inform the final decision.	
Summary of the proposed decision: Aims and objectives Key actions Expected outcomes Who will be affected and how? How many people will be affected?	Note and endorse the acquisition being made under the delegated authority afforded to housing services under recommendation VII in the cabinet report agreed in December 2020 Note exempt Appendix B and note the acquisition price of the land
 Information and research: Outline the information and research that has informed the decision. Include sources and key findings. 	Building on solid foundations: delivering affordable homes in Ashford – our delivery plan for 2019-2023 Housing Strategy Framework Priority 1 – Improve the supply of affordable housing to meet local housing needs in urban and rural areas, and Housing Statement 2018-2023 Reform of Housing Revenue Account (HRA) – Cabinet endorsed five key priorities for further spend, as a result of greater freedom within the HRA. National Housing Strategy 2011 – delivering new homes under the affordable rent model. A Charter For Social Housing Residents – Social Housing White Paper 2020. A Guide to Developing Affordable Homes in Rural Communities (Kent Housing Group [KHG]) – February 2021
 Consultation: What specific consultation has occurred on this decision? What were the results of the consultation? Did the consultation analysis reveal any difference in views across the protected characteristics? 	A range of internal and external stakeholders will be consulted as plans develop and then a formal community consultation will be held in an agreed format in due course ahead of any application being submitted to planning. This will take time but care will be taken to include all relevant stakeholders at all part of the process.

 What conclusions can be drawn from the analysis on how the decision will affect people with different protected characteristics?

Assess the relevance of the decision to people with different protected characteristics and assess the impact of the decision on people with different protected characteristics.

When assessing relevance and impact, make it clear who the assessment applies to within the protected characteristic category. For example, a decision may have high relevance for young people but low relevance for older people; it may have a positive impact on women but a neutral impact on men.

Protected characteristic	Relevance to Decision High/Medium/Low/None	Impact of Decision Positive (Major/Minor) Negative (Major/Minor) Neutral
<u>AGE</u>	High	Positive (major)
Elderly		
Middle age	High	Positive (major)
Young adult	High	Positive (major)
Children	High	Positive (major)
DISABILITY	High	Positive (major)
Physical		
Mental	High	Neutral
Sensory	None	Neutral
GENDER RE- ASSIGNMENT	None	Neutral
MARRIAGE/CIVIL PARTNERSHIP	None	Neutral
PREGNANCY/MATERNITY	None	Neutral
RACE	None	Neutral
RELIGION OR BELIEF	None	Neutral
<u>SEX</u>	None	Neutral
Men		
Women	None	Neutral
SEXUAL ORIENTATION	None	Neutral

Mitigating negative impact:

Where any negative impact has been identified, outline the measures taken to mitigate against it.

Where the assessment has been carried out above it is on the basis of the new development planned as a result of this acquisition in Rolvenden.

Is the decision relevant to the aims of the equality duty?

Guidance on the aims can be found in the EHRC's <u>Essential Guide</u>, alongside fuller <u>PSED</u> <u>Technical Guidance</u>.

Aim	Yes / No / N/A
Eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation	N/A
Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it	Yes
Foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it	N/A

Conclusion:

 Consider how due regard has been had to the equality duty, from start to finish.

Due regard has been considered throughout this proposal to each protected group.

 There should be no unlawful discrimination arising from the decision (see guidance above).

No unlawful discrimination has arisen from the decision.

 Advise on whether the proposal meets the aims of the equality duty or whether adjustments have been made or need to be made or whether any residual impacts are justified. The effect on the community will be positive due to the aims of the programme delivery. No adjustments required.

 How will monitoring of the policy, procedure or decision and its implementation be undertaken and reported?

The programme will be monitored by a Housing Project group with regular updates to our Portfolio Holder and Cabinet.

EIA completion date:

08th February 2022